

**Propuneri pentru temele de cercetare doctorală, în vederea înscrierii la examenul de admitere
pentru anul universitar 2026-2027, anul I de studii doctorale**

Domeniul de doctorat: Economie

Conducător de doctorat:

Prof. univ. dr. habil. Aniela Bălăcescu (e-mail: aniela.balacescu@e-ucb.ro; tel: 0723520175)

1. Dezvoltarea economică policentrică în Uniunea Europeană și rolul polilor regionali de creștere în consolidarea competitivității globale

Bibliografie:

1. Gatto, A. (2022). Polycentric and resilient perspectives for governing the commons: Strategic and law and economics insights for sustainable development. *Ambio*, 51(9), 1921-1932.
2. Meijers, E., & Sandberg, K. (2021). Territorial cohesion, polycentrism and regional disparities: Revisiting an unsolved debate. In *EU cohesion policy and spatial governance* (pp. 127-141). Edward Elgar Publishing.
3. Ouwehand, W. M., van Oort, F. G., & Cortinovis, N. (2022). Spatial structure and productivity in European regions. *Regional studies*, 56(1), 48-62.
4. Rauhut, D., & Humer, A. (2020). EU Cohesion Policy and spatial economic growth: trajectories in economic thought. *European planning studies*, 28(11), 2116-2133.
5. Thissen, M., Van Oort, F., Diodato, D., & Ruijs, A. (2013). *Regional competitiveness and smart specialization in Europe: Place-based development in international economic networks*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
6. Van Zeben, J., & Bobić, A. (Eds.). (2019). *Polycentricity in the European Union*. Cambridge University Press.

2. Sustenabilitate economică și reziliență regională în contextul tranziției verzi și digitale

Bibliografie:

1. Bălăcescu, A., Pătrașcu, A., & Păunescu, L. M. (2021). Adaptability to teleworking in European countries. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 23(58), 683-699.
2. Bianchini, S., Damoli, G., & Ghisetti, C. (2023). The environmental effects of the “twin” green and digital transition in European regions. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 84(4), 877-918.
3. Dabbous, A., Barakat, K. A., & Kraus, S. (2023). The impact of digitalization on entrepreneurial activity and sustainable competitiveness: A panel data analysis. *Technology in Society*, 73, 102224.
4. Maucorps, A., Römisch, R., Schwab, T., & Vujanović, N. (2023). The impact of the green and digital transition on regional cohesion in Europe. *Intereconomics*, 58(2), 102-110.
5. Nosratabadi, S., Atobishi, T., & Hegedüs, S. (2023). Social sustainability of digital transformation: Empirical evidence from EU-27 countries. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(5), 126.
6. Trippl, M., Fastenrath, S., & Isaksen, A. (2024). Rethinking regional economic resilience: Preconditions and processes shaping transformative resilience. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 31(2), 101-115.
7. Sjödin, D., Parida, V., & Kohtamäki, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence enabling circular business model innovation in digital servitization: Conceptualizing dynamic capabilities, AI capacities, business models and effects. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 197, 122903.
8. Zaharia, M., & Bălăcescu, A. (2020). Digital Economy and Society. Comparative Cluster Analysis of EU States. *Journal of Applied Computer Science & Mathematics*, 14(29).

3. Calitatea guvernărilor corporative și performanța întreprinderilor publice: o abordare din perspectiva economiei instituționale și a determinanților socio-economici.

Bibliografie:

1. Achim, M.-V., Borlea, S.-N., & Mare, C. (2016). Corporate governance and business performance: Evidence for the Romanian economy. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 17(3), 458-474
2. Bauer, R., Guenster, N., & Otten, R. (2004). Empirical evidence on corporate governance in Europe: The effect on stock returns, firm value and performance. *Journal of Asset management*, 5(2), 91-104.
3. Blair, M. M. (2011). *Ownership and control: Rethinking corporate governance for the twenty-first century*. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
4. Feleagă, N., Feleagă, L., Dragomir, V. D., & Bigioi, A. D. (2011). Corporate Governance in Emerging Economies: The Case of Romania. *Theoretical & Applied Economics*, 18(9), 5.
5. Shleifer, Andrei. (1998) "State versus private ownership." *Journal of economic perspectives* 12.4 (1998): 133-150.
6. Tricker, R. I. (2015). *Corporate governance: Principles, policies, and practices*. Oxford University Press.

4. Eficiență și performanță instituțională în organizațiile medicale publice din România: o analiză a impactului socio-economic determinat de absorbția fondurilor nerambursabile.

Bibliografie:

1. Becker, S. O., Egger, P. H., & Von Ehrlich, M. (2010). Going NUTS: The effect of EU Structural Funds on regional performance. *Journal of Public Economics*, 94(9-10), 578-590.
2. European Commission (2025). *Interim evaluation of the EU4Health Programme 2021–2024*.
3. European Commission, Directorate-General for Health and Food Safety. (2025). *State of Health in the EU: Synthesis report 2025*
4. Forsgren, L., Tediosi, F., Blanchet, K., & Saulnier, D. D. (2022). Health systems resilience in practice: a scoping review to identify strategies for building resilience. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22(1), 1173.
5. Hollingsworth, B. (2008). The measurement of efficiency and productivity of health care delivery. *Health economics*, 17(10), 1107-1128.
6. Kruk, M. E., Gage, A. D., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H. H., Roder-DeWan, S., ... & Pate, M. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: time for a revolution. *The Lancet global health*, 6(11), e1196-e1252.
7. Veillard, J., Champagne, F., Klazinga, N., Kazandjian, V., Arah, O. A., & Guisset, A. L. (2005). A performance assessment framework for hospitals: the WHO regional office for Europe PATH project. *International journal for quality in Health Care*, 17(6), 487-496.

Conducător de doctorat:**Prof. univ. dr. habil. Daniela-Emanuela Dănăcică** (e-mail: danadde@yahoo.com ; tel: 0761326915)**1. Integrarea pe piața muncii a persoanelor cu dizabilități în România: determinanți, bariere și politici de ocupare**

Bibliografie:

1. Baci, E.L., Lazăr, T.A. (2017). *Between Equality and Discrimination: Disabled Persons in Romania*. Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences, 13(51), 5-19, DOI:10.24193/tras.51E.1.
2. Birău, F.R., Dănăcică, D.-E., Spulbar, C.M. (2019). *Social Exclusion and Labor Market Integration of People with Disabilities: A Case Study for Romania*. Sustainability 11(18), 5014. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11185014>.
3. Boman, T., Kjellberg, A., Danermark, B., Boman, E. (2015). *Employment Opportunities for Persons with Different Types of Disability*. Alter 9(2), 116-129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alter.2014.11.003>
4. Dănăcică, D.E., Cîrnu, D. (2014). *Unemployment Duration and Exit States of Disabled People in Romania*. Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting, 17(1), 35-52.
5. Harkko, J., Virtanen, M., Kouvonen, A. (2018). *Unemployment and Work Disability Due to Common Mental Disorders Among Young Adults: Selection or Causation?* European Journal of Public Health, 28(5), 791-797. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cky024>.
6. Helgesson, M., Pettersson, E., Lindsäter, E., Taipale, H., Tanskanen, A., Mittendorfer-Rutz, E., Cullen, A.E. (2024). *Trajectories of Work Disability Among Individuals with Anxiety-, Mood/Affective-, or Stress-Related Disorders in a Primary Healthcare Setting*. BMC Psychiatry 24(1), 623, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-024-06068-5>.
7. Saunders, S.L., Nedelec, B. (2014). *What Work Means to People with Work Disability: A Scoping Review*. Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation 24, 100-110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-013-9436-y>.

2. Producția științifică în domeniul științelor economice a universităților și institutelor de cercetare din România: o analiză bibliometrică și scientometrică

Bibliografie:

1. Adams, J. (2013). *The fourth age of research*. Nature, 497(7451), 557-560. <https://doi.org/10.1038/497557a>
2. Chinchilla-Rodríguez, Z., Miao, L., Murray, D., Robinson-García, N., Costas, R., Sugimoto, C. R. (2018). *Dependencies and autonomy in research performance: Examining nanoscience and nanotechnology in emerging countries*. Scientometrics, 116(1), 329-360. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-018-2755-3>
3. Chinchilla-Rodríguez, Z., Sugimoto, C. R., Larivière, V. (2019). *Follow the leader: On the relationship between leadership and scholarly impact in international collaborations*. PLOS ONE, 14(6), e0218309. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218309>
4. de Moya-Anegón, F., Guerrero-Bote, V. P., López-Illescas, C., & Moed, H. F. (2018). *Statistical relationships between corresponding authorship, international co-authorship and citation impact of national research systems*. Journal of Informetrics, 12(4), 1251-1262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2018.10.004>
5. Gazni, A., Sugimoto, C. R., & Didegah, F. (2012). *Mapping world scientific collaboration: Authors, institutions, and countries*. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 63(2), 323-335. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.21688>
6. Luukkonen, T., Persson, O., & Sivertsen, G. (1992). *Understanding patterns of international scientific collaboration*. Science, Technology, & Human Values, 17(1), 101-126. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016224399201700106>

7. Mattsson, P., Sundberg, C. J., & Laget, P. (2011). *Is correspondence reflected in the author position? A bibliometric study of the relationship between corresponding author and byline position*. *Scientometrics*, 87(1), 99-105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-010-0310-9>
8. Persson, O. (2010). *Are highly cited papers more international?* *Scientometrics*, 83(2), 397-401. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-0007-0>

3. Șomajul de lungă durată în România: particularități, determinanți și implicații pentru politicile de ocupare

Bibliografie:

1. Andrienko, I. (2001). *Understanding the Crime Growth in Russia during the Transition Period: A Criminometric Approach*. *Ekonomicheskii Zhurnal Vyshey Shkoly Ekonomiki*, 5, pp. 194-220.
2. Arulampalam, W., (2001). *Is Unemployment Really Scarring? Effects of Unemployment Experiences on Wages*. *The Economic Journal*, 111(475), F585-F606.
3. Atkinson, A.B., Micklewright J. (1991). *Unemployment Compensation and Labor Market Transitions: A Critical Review*. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 29(4), pp. 1679-1727.
4. Kavkler, A., Dănăcică, D., Babucea, A.G., Bicanic, I., Bohm, B., Tevdovski, D., Tosevska, K., Borsic, D. (2009). *Cox Regression Models for Unemployment Duration in Romania, Austria, Slovenia Croatia and Macedonia*. *Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting*, 6(2), pp. 81-104.
5. Mirică, A. (2014). *Higher Education -A Solution to Unemployment? Case Study: Romania*. *Romanian Statistical Review*, 3, pp. 63-75.

Conducător de doctorat:

CS I dr. habil. Aurelian-Petruș Ploeanu (e-mail: aurelian.ploeanu@uaic.ro; tel: 0740137858)

1. Fenomenul corupției în țările post-comuniste: cauze, efecte, politici de combatere

Bibliografie:

1. Grzymała-Busse, A. (2007). *Rebuilding Leviathan: Party Competition and State Exploitation in Post-Communist Democracies*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Hellman, J. S., Jones, G., & Kaufmann, D. (2003). *Seize the state, seize the day: State capture and influence in transition economies*. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 31(4), 751-773.
3. Holmes, L. (2006). *Rotten States? Corruption, Post-Communism, and Neoliberalism*. Duke University Press.
4. Mauro, P. (1995). *Corruption and Growth*. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(3), 681-712.
5. Mungiu-Pippidi, A. (2017). *În căutarea bunei guvernări. Cum au scăpat alte țări de corupție?* Polirom.
6. Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1993). *Corruption*. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 108(3), 599-617.
7. Treisman, D. (2000). *The causes of corruption: a cross-national study*. *Journal of Public Economics*, 76(3), 399-457.
8. Treisman, D. (2007). *What Have We Learned About the Causes of Corruption from Ten Years of Cross-National Empirical Research?* *Annual Review of Political Science*, 10, 211-244.

2. Religiozitatea și comportamentele care încalcă regulile (corupție, evaziune fiscală, economie subterană) în România. Efecte asupra comportamentului economic la nivel individual

Bibliografie:

1. Guiso, L., Sapienza, P., & Zingales, L. (2003). *People's opium? Religion and economic attitudes*. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 50(1), 225-282.
2. Heinemann, F. & Schneider, F. (2011). *Religion and the Shadow Economy*. *Kyklos*, 64(3), 423-441.
3. La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1999). *The quality of government*. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 15(1), 222-279.
4. Marquette, H. (2012). *Corruption, Religion and Moral Development*. Routledge.
5. North, D.C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Paldam, M. (2001). *Corruption and religion: Adding to the La Porta et al. finding*. *Kyklos*, 54(2-3), 383-413.
7. Robert J. Barro, & Rachel M. McCleary (2022). *Avuția religiilor. Economia politică a credinței și apartenenței religioase*, Humanitas.
8. Torgler, B. & Schneider, F. (2009). "The Impact of Tax Morale and Institutional Quality on the Shadow Economy." *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 30(2), 228-245.
9. Torgler, B. (2003). *Tax morale in transition countries*. *Post-Communist Economies*, 15(2), 215-234.
10. Torgler, B. (2006). *The importance of faith: Religion and tax morale*. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 61(1), 81-109.

3. Factorii care influențează intenția de returnare a migranților români calificați din UE

Bibliografie:

1. Borjas, G.J. & Bratsberg, B. (1996). *Who Leaves? The Outmigration of the Foreign-Born*. Review of Economics and Statistics, 78(1), 165–176.
2. Cassarino, J. P. (2004). *Theorising return migration: The conceptual approach to return migrants revisited*. International Migration, 42(2), 253-279.
3. De Haas, H. & Fokkema, T. (2011). *The Effects of Integration and Transnational Ties on International Return Migration Intentions*. Demographic Research, 25, 755–782.
4. Docquier, F. & Rapoport, H. (2012). *Globalization, Brain Drain, and Development*. Journal of Economic Literature, 50(3), 681–730.
5. Dumitru Sandu (2010). *Lumile sociale ale migrației românești în străinătate*. Polirom.
6. Dustmann, C., & Weiss, Y. (2007). *Return migration: theory and empirical evidence from the UK*. British Journal of Industrial Relations, 45(2), 236-256.
7. George J. Borjas (2014). *Immigration Economics*. Harvard University Press.
8. Gibson, J., & McKenzie, D. (2011). *The microeconomic determinants of return migration: Insights from a survey of an elite*. Journal of Development Economics, 96(2), 352-363.
9. Hinks, T. & Davies, S. (2015). *Intentions to Return: Evidence from Romanian Migrants*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 7166.
10. Martin, R., & Radu, D. (2012). *Return migration: The experience of Eastern Europe*. International Migration, 50(6), 116-128.